# STATE ANNUAL ACTION PLAN (SAAP) (FY2016-17)

## UT of Puducherry



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	klist – Consolidated State Annual Action Plan of all ULBs to be sent for Assessn able 6.2)	
Minu	tes of State High Powered Steering Committee (SHPSC) Meeting	7
Chap	ter 1: Project Background and Summary	10
BA	CKGROUND	10
FO	CUS AREAS	10
FU	ND ALLOCATION	11
Pro	ogram Management Structure	11
Chap	ter 2: Review of SAAPs	18
Chap	ter 3: STATE ANNUAL ACTION PLAN (SAAP)	32
1.	Principles of Prioritization	33
2.	Importance of O&M	34
3.	Reform Implementation	35
4.	Annual Capacity Building Plan	40
5.	A&0E	46
6.	Financing of Projects	47
Chan	ter 4: TABLES:	49

## Checklist – Consolidated State Annual Action Plan of all ULBs to be sent for Assessment by MoUD (as per table 6.2)

S.No.	Points of Consideration	Yes/No	Give Details
1.	Have all the Cities prepared SLIP as per the suggested approach?	Yes	As per MoUD guidelines of prioritization, the SLIP for all cities have been prepared. The Government of Puducherry has prioritized water supply & sewerage for the current financial year.
2.	Has the SAAP prioritized cities for investment as per priority sectors and gap assessment?	Yes	Prioritization has been done based on the guidelines of AMRUT.
3.	Is the indicator wise summary of improvements proposed (both investments and management improvements) by State in place?	Yes	Indicator wise summary of improvements (both investments and management improvements) are summarized and proposed.
4.	Have all the cities under Mission identified/done baseline assessments of service coverage indicators?	Yes	The service coverage indicators have been prepared for all the cities
5.	Is the SAAP derived from an approach towards meeting Service Level Benchmarks agreed by Ministry for each Sector?	Yes	SAAP has been prepared to meet out the Service Level benchmarks of MoUD
6.	Is the investment proposed commensurate to the level of improvement envisaged in the indicator?	Yes	The proposed investment in each sector are adequate to meet the level of each services envisaged.
7.	Are State Share and ULB share in line with proposed Mission	Yes	As per Ministry of Finance Letter No.D.O.No.32/PSO/FS/2015 dated

	approach?		October 28th 2015, for Union Territories, the Centrally Sponsored Schemes will be funded 100 percent by the GoI.
8.	Is there a need for additional resources and have state considered raising additional resources (State programs, aided projects, additional devolution to cities, 14th Finance Commission, external sources)?	Yes	Yes. Government of Puducherry has proposed to avail additional sources through external borrowing like AFD (Agency for French Development), HUDCO and NABARD.
9.	Does State Annual Action Plan verify that the cities have undertaken financial projections to identify revenue requirements for O&M and repayments?	Yes	The cities have identified the revenue sources required for managing the O&M cost and repayments of the additional funds for each service.
10.	Has the State Annual Action Plan considered the resource mobilization capacity of each ULB to ensure that ULB share can be mobilized?	Yes	As per Ministry of Finance Letter No.D.O.No.32/PSO/FS/2015 dated October 28th 2015, for Union Territories, the Centrally Sponsored Schemes will be funded 100 percent by the GOI.
11.	Has the process of establishment of PDMC been initiated and completed?	Yes	The RFP preparation is under progress. Will be floated shortly
12.	Has a roadmap been prepared to realize the resource potential of the ULB?	Yes	The CDPs prepared for the ULBs have identified the road map to realize the resource potential of respective ULBs
13.	Is the implementation plan for projects and reforms in place (Timelines and yearly milestones)?	Yes	It is considered in the SLIP and SAAP preparation

14.	Has the prioritization of projects in ULBs been done in accordance with para 7.2 of the guidelines?	Yes	The projects for each ULBs have been prioritized based on the priority given in the AMRUT guidelines.
-----	---	-----	---

State Mission Director

Minutes of State High Powered Steering Committee (SHPSC) Meeting	

## Chapter 1: Project Background and Summary

Provide brief description of AMRUT Mission as applicable to your State, thrust areas under mission, coverage of cities under mission, program management structure and funding allocation.(Two pages)

Here fill out Tables 1.1, 1.2.1, 1.2.2, 1.3 and 1.4 (pgs. 32 - 35); Tables 3.2 (pg.43) and 3.4 (pg.45) given in the AMRUT Guidelines.

#### BACKGROUND

Cities are engines of growth for the economy of every nation, including India. Nearly 31% of India's current population lives in urban areas and contributes 63% of India's GDP (Census 2011). With increasing urbanization, urban areas are expected to house 40% of India's population and contribute 75% of India's GDP by 2030. This requires comprehensive development of physical, institutional, social and economic infrastructure. All are important in improving the quality of life and attracting people and investments to the City, setting in motion a virtuous cycle of growth and development. In this regard, the Government of India launched Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) a flagship programme on 25th June, 2015.

The aim of the mission is to provide basic services (e.g. water supply, sewerage, urban transport) to households and build amenities in cities which will improve the quality of life for all, especially the poor and the disadvantaged. Under this programme, Government of India has selected 500 AMRUT Cities in the Country based on the urban population of the State/ UT. In which 3 Cities / Towns, have been selected in Puducherry viz., Puducherry, Oulgaret and Karaikal.

## **FOCUS AREAS**

The Mission will focus on the following thrust areas. Viz.,

- water supply,
- Sewerage facilities and septage management,
- Storm water drains to reduce flooding,
- Pedestrian, non-motorized and public transport facilities, parking spaces, and
- Enhancing amenity value of cities by creating and upgrading green spaces, parks and recreation centers, especially for children.

#### **FUND ALLOCATION**

The total outlay for AMRUT is Rs.50, 000 Crore for five years from FY 2015-16 to FY 2019-20 and the Mission will be operated as a Centrally Sponsored Scheme. The AMRUT may be continued thereafter in the light of an evaluation done by the MoUD and incorporating learning's in the Mission. The Mission funds will consist of the following four parts:

- Project fund 80% of the annual budgetary allocation
- Incentive for Reforms 10% of the annual budgetary allocation
- State funds for Administrative & Office Expenses (A&OE) 8% of the annual budgetary allocation
- MoUD funds for Administrative & Office Expenses (A&OE) 2% of the annual budgetary allocation

The project fund will be divided among States/UTs at the beginning of each year. An equitable formula will be used to distribute the annual budgetary allocation in which equal (50:50) weightage is given to the urban population of each State/UT (Census 2011) and the number of statutory towns in the State/UT. As the number of statutory towns are notified by States/UTs and will change during the Mission period, the formula will take into account changes in this number every year. The amount of project fund allocated will be informed to the States/UTs at the appropriate time. The Central Assistance (CA) for the projects will be in three instalments of 20:40:40 of the approved cost.

## Program Management Structure



Table 1.1 of the guideline

				(Amount in Cro	res) – Year 2016-17
Total Central Funds allocated to State	Allocation of Central funds for A&OE (@8% of Total given in column 1)	Allocation of Funds for AMRUT (Central Share)	Multiply col. 3 by x3) for AMRUT on col. 4 (project proposal to be three- times the annual allocation - CA)	Add equal (col. 4) State/ULB Share * **	Total AMRUT annual size (cols.2+4+5)
1	2	3	4	5	6
21.60	1.728**	7.2	21.60	0.00	23.328

<sup>\*</sup> As per the D.O.No. 32/PSO/FS/2015 from Ministry of Finance, GoI, U.T is entitled to avail 100% grant under AMRUT Mission.

**Table 0-1: Abstract - Sector Wise Proposed Total Project Fund (As per Existing Funding Pattern)** 

Table 1.2.1 of the guideline

FY- 2016-17

\$ S.No	Sector	No. of Project	Gol	State*	ULB**	Convergence	Others	Total
1	Water supply	1	16.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.56
2	Sewerage and Septage management	1	4.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.50
3	Drainage	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	Non-Motorised Transport	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	Green Space	2	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54
Grand Total		4	21.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.60

<sup>\*</sup> As per the D.O.No. 32/PSO/FS/2015 from Ministry of Finance, Gol, U.T is entitled to avail 100% grant under AMRUT Mission.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Restricted as per the allocation made by the Ministry of Urban Development,

Table 0-2: Abstract - Sector Wise Proposed Total Project Fund and Sharing Pattern

Table 1.2.2 of the guideline

FY-2016-17

(Amount in Crores)

				State*			ULB**		
S.No.	Sector	Gol	14th Others		Total	14th FC	Others	Total	Total
1	Water supply	16.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.56
2	Sewerage	4.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.50
3	Drainage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	NMT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	Green space	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54
	Total	21.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.60

<sup>\*</sup> As per the D.O.No. 32/PSO/FS/2015 from Ministry of Finance, GoI, U.T is entitled to avail 100% grant under AMRUT Mission.

Table 0-3: Abstract – Use of Funds on Projects: Ongoing and New

Table 1.3 of the guideline FY- 2016-17

(Amount in Crores)

				Commit	ted Exp	enditure	e (if an	у)			Pr	oposed	spendin	g during	I		Balance carry Forward for						
				fr	om Pre	vious Ye	ear			current Financial year							Next Financial Year						
				State	State		ULB			*State		ULB			State			ULB					
S.No	Sector	Total Project Investment	Gol	14th FC	Others	Total	14th FC	Others	Total	Gol	14th FC	Others	Total	14th FC	Others	Total	Gol	14th FC	Others	Total	14th FC	Others	Total
	Water		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	supply	29.06	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	Sewerage	10.5	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	Drainage	0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	NMT	0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Green		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	space	1.01	0.50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	40.57	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

<sup>\*</sup> As per the D.O.No. 32/PSO/FS/2015 from Ministry of Finance, Gol, U.T is entitled to avail 100% grant under AMRUT Mission.

Table 0-4: Abstract - Plan for Achieving Service Level Benchmarks

Table 1.4 of the guideline

FY- 2016-17

					Annual	Targets b	ased on Ma	aster Plan	
Proposed	Total Project Cost in	Indicator	Baseline		(Incren	nent from	the Baselii	ne Value)	
Priority Projects	Crores	maicutor	Duscinic	FY :	2016	FY	FY	FY	FY
				H1	H2	2017	2018	2019	2020
		W	ATER SUPPLY						
		1.Household coverage of water supply connections	93%	93%	93%	94%	95%	95%	95%
	31.80	2.Per capita quantum of water supplied	116	116	116	118	120	122	125
		3.Quality of water supplied	80%	80%	82%	83%	84%	84%	84%
		SEWERAGE AN	ID SEPTAGE MA	ANAGEME	NT				
		4.Coverage of Latrines (Individual or community)	85.80%	87%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	8.77	5Coverage of sewerage network services	28%	28%	55%	77%	80%	82%	85%
		6. Efficiency of Collection of Sewage	23%	28%	55%	77%	80%	82%	85%
		7.Efficiency in Treatment	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
			DRAINAGE						
	0.0	8. Coverage of storm water drainage network	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%

Table 0-5: Sector Wise Breakup of Consolidated Investments for all ULBs in the State

Table 3.2 of the guideline

FY- 2016-17

(Amount in Cr.)

S.No	Name of the ULB	Water supply	Sewerage	Drainage	NMT	Green	Grand			
S.I.to	ivaling of the OLD	тики опрру	oonerage	Dramago		space	Total			
1	Puducherry	16.56	2.25	0.00	0.00	0.27	19.08			
2	Oulgaret	0.00	2.25	0.00	0.00	0.27	2.52			
3	Karaikal	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	Total Project Investments	16.56	16.56 4.50 0.00 0.00 0.0							
	A & OE @ 8% of the project cost						1.728			
( Restri	cted as per the allocation made by the MoUD)						1.720			
	Grand Total						23.328			

16

Table 0-6: SAAP - Year wise Share of Investments for all sectors (ULB Wise)

Table 3.4 of the guideline

																	Balance carry Forward for						
			Comm	itted E	xpendi	ture (if a	ny ) fron	n Previou	s Year	Proposed spending during current Financial year							Next Financial Year						
		Total			State	!		ULB				*Stat	е		ULB				State			ULE	3
S.No	Name of the City	Total Project Investme nt	Gol	14th FC	Others	Total	14th FC	Others	Total	Gol	14th FC	Others	Total	14th FC	Others	Total	Gol	14th FC	Others	Total	14th FC	Others	Total
1	Puducherry	31.80	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.80	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
2	Oulgaret	8.77	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.27	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
3	Karaikal*	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Total	40.57	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.07	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

<sup>\*</sup> As per the D.O.No. 32/PSO/FS/2015 from Ministry of Finance, GoI, U.T is entitled to avail 100% grant under AMRUT Mission.

## Chapter 2: Review of SAAPs

The state is required to prepare SAAP every year and get it approved by the Apex Committee. Before preparing the current year's SAAP, a key requirement is to review the performance of the approved SAAP of the previous years. This chapter reviews the performance of the implementation of the past SAAPs on key themes in the AMRUT Guidelines.

## **Project Progress**

In this section the physical and financial progress is reviewed. Please complete the following table and respond to the questions.

		Approved SAAP			SLTC	Work	Implementation Progress		Amount
SI.No	Name ULB	Project name	Amount in Crores	DPR (Y/N)	(Y/N)	Order (Y/N)	Physical ( %)	SLTC (Y/N)	disbursed till date
1	Puducherry Municipality	Improvement of water supply for Kompakkam Areas and SCADA for Puducherry WSS (OHT, Distribution Network and Pumping main)	16.56	Y	N	N	Nil	Nil	Nil
2	Puducherry Municipality	Sewerage and Septage management (1 No. of Decentralised STPs and Septic tank cleaning machine)	2.25	Y	N	N	Nil	Nil	Nil
3	Puducherry Municipality	Strom Drainage	-	Υ	N	N	Nil	Nil	Nil
4	Puducherry Municipality	Green space & Park((Bharathi park, Duplex park and Seagulls park))	0.27	Y	N	N	Nil	Nil	Nil
5	Oulgaret Municipality	Water Supply	0.00	Υ	N	N	Nil	Nil	Nil
6	Oulgaret Municipality	Sewerage and Septage management (1 No. of Decentralised STPs and Septic tank cleaning machine)	2.25	Y	N	N	Nil	Nil	Nil
7	Oulgaret Municipality	Strom Drainage	-	-	N	N	Nil	Nil	Nil
8	Oulgaret Municipality	Green space & Park (Natesan Nagar)	0.27	Y	N	N	Nil	Nil	Nil
9	Karaikal Municipality	Water Supply	0	-	-	-	Nil	Nil	Nil

10	Karaikal Municipality	Sewerage and Septage Management	0	1	1	-	Nil	Nil	Nil
10	Karaikal Municipality	Strom Drainage	0	1	1	-	Nil	Nil	Nil

As per the approval of SAAP 2015-16, the GOI released 20% of the Committed Expenditure

• Have DPRs been prepared for all projects approved earlier? If not then which are the projects for which DPR is pending and why? (500 words).

The DPRs for water supply, sewerage & Park development for both Puducherry and Oulgaret Municipalities have been prepared and the same would be placed before State Level Technical Committee for approval. Land for construction of water treatment plants (RO plants) and STP has been identified.

- What is the plan of action for the pending DPRs? (300 words)
   Does not arise.
- How many SLTC meetings had been held in the State? How many DPRs have been approved by the SLTC till date? (250 words)

No SLTC meeting has been held so far. The meeting will be convened by the third week of September 2016.

 By when will the pending DPRs be approved by the SLTC and when will implementation start? (250 words)

It is expected that the DPR will be approved by SLTC in the mid of September 2016 and implementation will start by the end of October, 2016.

• Based on the identification of delayed projects and the reasons for slow physical progress, what is the plan of action to speed-up the projects? (300 words)

Not applicable.

 How much amount has been utilized and what is the percentage share of the funding agencies? Are there any deviations from the approved funding pattern approved by the Apex Committee? (tabular form and 500 words)

No fund has been utilized so far. As per Ministry of Finance Letter No.D.O.No.32/PSO/FS/2015 dated October 28th 2015, Union Territory of Puducherry is entitled to get 100% grant under AMRUT.

List out the projects where release of funds to ULBs by the State was delayed?

The central release of Rs. 3.79 Crores has been released to the Local Administration Department and the same will be transferred to the PWD, Puducherry and two municipalities being the implementing Agency for water supply, sewerage and park development projects approved under SAAP 2015-16.

• In how many ULBs implementation was done by agencies other than ULBs? Was a resolution taken from all ULBs? (tabular and 200 words)

The implementation of water supply and sewerage projects will be done by Public Works Department, Government of Puducherry which is the nodal department for implementation and operation & maintenance of water supply and sewerage system in the UT of Puducherry. Therefore, no resolution is required from ULB.

• List out the projects where the assessed value approved by the Apex Committee was greater than the tendered value and there was a saving? Was this addressed by the HPSC in the present SAAP? (tabular and 200 words).

The projects approved under the SAAP 2015-16 are yet to be tendered.

• List out the number of city-wise projects where the second and third installments were claimed. (Tabular form).

No second and third installments have been claimed for any of the projects so far.

• List out the city-wise completed projects. Was the targeted benchmark achieved? Explain the reasons for non-achievement (tabular form and 400 words)

No project has been completed so far.

• List out the details of projects taken up in PPP model. Describe the type of PPP (tabular; 300 words)

NIL

• List out and describe any out-of-the-box initiatives/Smart Solutions/resilience used/incorporated in the projects under implementation. What is the nature of the innovation in the projects? (tabular; 300 words)

PWD Puducherry has proposed to set up water treatment plant using Reverse Osmosis technology for treating the ground water having excess TDS. It is also proposed to install decentralized sewerage system in two locations .

#### Service Levels

The focus of AMRUT is to achieve service level benchmarks, such as universal coverage in water supply, sewer connections, and so on. In the approved SAAPs, the States/ULBs have targeted the benchmark of universal coverage. The SAAP has to review the progress towards targets set by the States/ULBs to move towards achievement of universal coverage, etc. Please complete the following table and respond to the questions based on the table.

## Service Level Benchmark (Puducherry Municipality)

	Sector: Water Supply								
				For the last Financial Year					
Name of City	Service Level Benchmark	SAAP Baseline (as in 2015)	SAAP Mission Target	Target upto beginning of current FY	Achievement upto beginning of current FY				
	Household coverage     of water supply     connections.	95%	96%	96%	95%				
Puducherry	2.Per capita quantum of water supplied.	125	127	125	125				
	3.Quality of water supplied	80%	82%	82%	80%				

	Sector: SEWERAGE AND SEPTAGE MANAGEMENT								
				For the last Financial Year					
Name of City	Service Level Benchmark	SAAP Baseline (as in 2015)	SAAP Mission Target	Target upto beginning of current FY	Achievement upto beginning of current FY				
	4.Coverage of Latrines (Individual or community)	85.80%	100%	87%	87%				
Puducherry	5.Coverage of sewerage network services	53%	70%	65% (under ongoing JNNURM scheme)	53%				
	6. Efficiency of Collection of Sewage	43%	53%	53% (under ongoing JNNURM scheme)	43%				
	7.Efficiency in Treatment	100%	100%	100%	100%				

Sector: DRAINAGI	Ε					
				For the last Financial Year		
Name of City	Service Level Benchmark	SAAP Baseline (as in 2015)	SAAP Mission Target	Target upto beginning of current FY	Achievement upto beginning of current FY	
Puducherry	Coverage of storm water drainage network		60%	60%	60%	

## Service Level Benchmark (Oulgaret Municipality)

	Sector: Water Supply							
				For the last Financial Year				
Name of City	Service Level Benchmark	SAAP Baseline (as in 2015)	SAAP Mission Target	Target upto beginning of current FY	Achievement upto beginning of current FY			
Oulgaret	Household coverage of water supply connections.	90%	92%	92%	90%			
	2.Per capita quantum of water supplied.	110	112	112	110			
	3.Quality of water supplied	80%	82%	82%	80%			

Sector: SEWERAGE AND SEPTAGE MANAGEMENT								
				For the last Financial Year				
Name of City	Service Level Benchmark	SAAP Baseline (as in 2015)	SAAP Mission Target	Target upto beginning of current FY	Achievement upto beginning of current FY			
	4.Coverage of Latrines (Individual or community)	85.50%	88%	88%	85.50%			
Oulgaret	5.Coverage of sewerage network services	7%	80%	50% (under ongoing JNNURM Scheme)	7%			
	6. Efficiency of Collection of Sewage	7%	80%	50% under ongoing JNNURM Scheme	7%			
	7.Efficiency in Treatment	100%	100%	100%	100%			

				For the last Financial Year		
Name of City	Service Level Benchmark	SAAP Baseline (as in 2015)	SAAP Mission Target	Target upto beginning of current FY	Achievement upto beginning of current FY	
Oulgaret	Coverage of storm water drainage network		55%	55%	55%	

## Service Level Benchmark (Karaikal Municipality)

	Sector: Water Supply								
				For the last Financial Year					
Name of City	Service Level Benchmark	SAAP Baseline (as in 2015)	SAAP Mission Target	Target upto beginning of current FY	Achievement upto beginning of current FY				
Karaikal	Household coverage of water supply connections.	90%	92%	90%	90%				
	Per capita quantum of water supplied.	125	127	125	125				
	3.Quality of water supplied	85%	87%	85%	85%				

Sector: SEWERAGE AND SEPTAGE MANAGEMENT							
				For the last Financial Year			
Name of City	Service Level Benchmark	SAAP Baseline (as in 2015)	SAAP Mission Target	Target upto beginning of current FY	Achievement upto beginning of current FY		

	4.Coverage of Latrines (Individual or community)	90%	100%	90%	90%
Karaikal	5.Coverage of sewerage network services	53%	53%	53%	53%
	6. Efficiency of Collection of Sewage	43%	43%	43%	43%
	7.Efficiency in Treatment	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

				For the last Financial Year		
Name of City	Service Level Benchmark	SAAP Baseline (as in 2015)	SAAP Mission Target	Target upto beginning of current FY	Achievement upto beginning of current FY	
Karaikal	Coverage of storm water drainage network	60%	60%	60%	60%	

- In how many projects, city-wise, have targets not been achieved? What is the Plan for Action to achieve the targets? (tabular form; 500 words)
  - The projects approved under SAAP 2015-16 are yet to be implemented. In addition to the projects proposed under AMRUT, Government of Puducherry has proposed to avail External funding from Agency for French Development (AFD) to achieve 100% target for covering all households and improve drinking water quality.
- What are the status of the ongoing DPR preparation and the plan of action for the pending DPRs? (300 words)

The DPRs are finalized and it's going to be placed before SLTC in the third week of September, 2016.

 How many SLTC meetings had been held in the State? How many DPRs have been approved by the SLTC till date? (250 words)

NIL

## **Capacity Building**

There are two types of capacity building – individual and institutional. The Apex Committee had approved the annual capacity building plan and the SAAP of the current year has to review the progress of the capacity plan. Please fill out following table and answer the questions.

SI No	Name of ULB	Name of Department	Total number to be trained in Mission period	Target to be trained during the previous Financial Year	Number fully trained during the previous Financial Year	Name training institute
1	Puducherry Municipality	<ul> <li>Finance Department</li> <li>Engineering         Department     </li> <li>Town Planning</li> <li>Administration</li> </ul>	5 20 10 10	5 10 5 10	To be trained	ASCI,ESCI, Hyderabad
2	Oulgaret Municipality	<ul> <li>Finance Department</li> <li>Engineering Department</li> <li>Town Planning</li> <li>Administration</li> </ul>	5 20 10 10	<ul><li>5</li><li>10</li><li>5</li><li>10</li></ul>	To be trained	ASCI,ESCI, Hyderabad.
			5	5		

		Finance Department				
	Karaikal	<ul> <li>Engineering</li> </ul>	20	10	To be trained	
3	Municipality	Department	40	-		ASCI,ESCI
		Town Planning	10	5		
		Administration	10	10		

• In how many departments was training completed as approved in the SAAP of the last Financial Year? In how many departments was training partially done and in how many departments training not done at all? Please give reasons (300 words)

The training in all the aforementioned ULBs and other concerned Departments such as PWD, Town and Country Planning Department is yet to be started.

• List out the training institutes that could not complete training of targeted functionaries. What were the reasons and how will this be avoided in future? (tabular; 300 words)

Govt. of Puducherry has identified ASCI and ESCI, Hyderabad as training institutes and MoU will be signed in the month of August, 2016.

• What is the status of utilization of funds? (250 words)

The funds are not utilized yet, as the training is yet to start.

• Have the participants visited best practice sites? Give details (350 words).

Govt. of Puducherry will depute the officials for various training programmes and to visit the best practices in India.

• Have the participants attended any national/international workshops, as per guideline (Annexure 7)? (350 words)

The participants are regularly attending the national Workshops organized by the Ministry as well as other States/Agencies.

• What is the plan of action for the pending activities, if any? (400 words)

SI.NO	Pending Activities	Action Plan
1	DPRs Preparation for SAAP 2015-16	It is completed
2	Meeting of SLTC for approval of DPRs for the SAAP2015-16	Meeting is proposed to be held in third week of
2		September 2016.
3	Meeting of SHPSC for approval of DPRs for the SAAP2015-16	Meeting is proposed to be held in the last
3		week of Sept. 2016.
4	Capacity Building (training to be organized)	Will be organized from October 2016 on
4		wards

### Reforms

According to Guideline 4.3, incentives of previous year will be given at the start of succeeding year, for which States are required to do a self-assessment, on receipt of which incentives will be awarded. A key requirement to claim incentives is to achieve at least 70 per cent reforms for that year. Some of the criteria to be considered while doing the assessment are as follows:

SI.N o	Reform Type	Milestones	Target for the last FY	Achievement for the last FY	Number of ULBs achieved 70 percent	Number of ULBs not achieved 70 percent
1	Yet to be achieved	100%	70%	Nil	Nil	Nil

• Have the Reform formats prescribed by the TCPO furnished?

To be furnished.

• Did the State as a whole complete 70 percent of Reforms? If, yes was the incentive claimed? (100 words)

No. Reform work is in progress.

 What was the amount of incentive claimed? How was it distributed among the ULBs and what was it used for? (tabular; 300 words)

Not yet claimed.

• What is the status of Reforms to be completed in the Mission period? Has advance action been taken and a Plan of Action prepared? (500 words)

Action is being taken.

• Give any instances of innovation in Reform implementation. (300 words)

Nil

#### Use of A&OE

• What are the items for which the A&OE has been used? (tabular; 250 words)

The A&OE fund will be used for the following items. Preparation of SLIP and SAAP, SMMU, CMMU, PDMC, Procuring Third Party Independent Review and Monitoring Agency, Publications (e-Newsletter, guidelines, brochures etc.), Capacity Building and Training - CCBP, if Applicable – Others, Reform implementation and DPR Preparation

A&OE funds will be utilized for the preparation of SAAP and SLIP and utilized for training and administrative activities.

• Are the items similar to the approved items in SAAP or there is any deviation? If yes, list the items with reasons (tabular; 300 words).

No deviation.

• What is the utilization status of funds? (tabular; 250 words)

An amount of Rs. 2.50 lakhs has been for preparation of SAAP and SLIP 2015-16 and the same would be charged under the A&OE funds. An amount of about Rs.5 lakhs has been committed for administrative expenses during 2015-16.

- Has the IRMA been appointed? What was the procedure followed?(250 words)
   The IRMA is yet to be appointed.
- If not appointed, give reason for delay and the likely date of appointment (100 words).

It is expected that the IRMA will be appointed by the end of December, 2016 after award of work for implementation.

Have you taken up activities connected to E-Municipality as a Service (E-MAAS)?
 Please give details. (250 words).

Action is being initiated.

• Have you displayed the logo and tagline of AMRUT prominently on all projects? Please give list. (tabular; 100 words).

The logo and tagline of AMRUT will be displayed on all the projects during implementation.

• Have you utilised the funds on any of the inadmissible components (para 4.4)? If yes, give list and reasons. (tabular; 350 words)

No.

## **Funds flow**

One reason for project delay has been delayed release of funds. In the following table indicate the status of funds release and resource mobilization.

S. N	City name	Project name				_					
			Go	ıl	S	tate	ULB/Ot	hers	Total funds flow to project	Total spent on project	
			Approved amount	Disburse d	Approv ed amount	Disburse d	Approved amount	Disbur sed			
2	Puducher ry Oulgaret	Instalallation of 3 nos of RO plants in Puducherry and Oulgaret Municipalities.      Sewerage system in Velrampet and Dr.Radhakrishnan Naagr in both Municipalities      Improvement of 2 parks in both the Municipalities.	18.97 (Total)	3.79 (total 1st installem nt)	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	3.79	Nil	

- In how many projects, city-wise, has the full funds been sanctioned and disbursed? (tabular form; 500 words).
  - SAAP for the year 2015-16 was approved by the Ministry for an amount of Rs. 18.97 crores in February 2016 and the installment of Rs. 3.79 crores (20% of SAAP amount) was released in March 2016. The DPR for both Municipalities have been prepared recently and would be placed before the State Level Technical Committee and State Level High Powered Committee for approval and there after fund will be utilized.
- Identify projects where delay in funds release led to delay in project implementation? (300 words).
  - No delay in release of funds. All the indentified projects will be completed within the stipulated time period of 2 years as envisaged in the SAAP.
- Give instances of doing more with less during implementation. (400 words).
  - Will be followed during implementation

#### **Funds disbursements and Conditions**

- How many project fund request has been made to the GoI? (250 words).
  - First installment was released and the same is yet to be utilized and hence no request has been made.
- How many installments the GoI has released? (250 words).
  - First Installment of Rs 3.79 crores was released in March, 2016.
- Is there any observation from the GoI regarding the claims made? (350 words).
   No.
- List out the conditions imposed by the Apex Committee, State HPSC and the SLTC. Have all the conditions been complied with? If no, identify the conditions not complied with and give reasons for non-compliance. (tabular; 500 words)
  - No condition has been imposed.

## Chapter 3: STATE ANNUAL ACTION PLAN (SAAP)

The SAAPs are aggregated from the SLIPs. Please fill out the Master Plan of projects (Table 3.1; pg.43) and the state level plan for achieving service levels (Table 3.5; pg.46 of AMRUT Guidelines).

Also, in the table below please give the details of the projects sector wise that are being posed for approval to the Apex Committee.

S.N o	City name Project name Estimated cost an				cost and s	hare	Change in service levels						
			Gol	Stat e	ULB/O thers	Total	Indicator	Existing	After project completion				
1	Puducherry, Oulgaret& Karaiakal	Improvement of water supply for Kompakkam Areas and SCADA for Puducherry WSS (OHT, Distribution Network and Pumping main)	16.56	0	0	16.56	1.House hold coverage of direct water supply connections. 2. Per capita quantum of water supplied. 3. Quality of water supplied	95% 125 80%	96% 126 82 %				
2	Puducherry & Oulgaret & Karaiakal	Sewerage and Septage management ( 2 Nos. of Decentralised STPs and Septic tank cleaning machine)	4.50	0	0.00	4.50	4. Coverage of Latrines (Individual or community). 5. Coverage of sewerage network services. 6. Efficiency of Collection of Sewage. 7. Efficiency in Treatment	28% 23% 100%	89% 55% 55% 100%				
3	Puducherry, Oulgaret& Karaiakal	Drainage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Coverage of     storm water     drainage network	60%	60%				
4	Puducherry, Oulgaret & Karaiakal	NMT	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Nil	0.00					
5	Puducherry, Oulgaret & Karaiakal	Green space & Park (Bharathi park, Duplex park, Seagulls park and Natesan Nagar) Total	Park Sharathi park, Duplex park, eagulls park and Natesan		0.54	Nil	10%	12%					

## 1. <u>Principles of Prioritization</u>

Under this section states will prioritize and recommend projects for selection under AMRUT (AMRUT Guidelines; para 7). The States will identify project based on gap analysis and financial strength of ULBs. While prioritizing projects, please provide information responding to the following questions, in words, not more than as indicated against each question:

• Has consultation with local MPs/ MLAs, Mayors and Commissioners of the concerned ULBs been carried out prior to allocation of funding? Give details of dates and number of participants (tabular; 250 words).

Yes. The consultations were held with MPs, MLAs of the respective Constituency and also consultation were held with various line departments and implementing agencies viz., Puducherry Public Works Department, Local Administration Department, Road Transport Department, Town & Country Planning Department etc on 20<sup>th</sup> July, 2016. The comments / suggestions received from various officials and elected representatives were considered during the preparation of Service Level Improvement Plan of the respective ULBs

• Has financially weaker ULBs given priority for financing?Please give list.(200 words)

Govt. of Puducherry has prioritized water supply sector in Puducherry Municipality keeping in view its financial condition.

• Is the ULB with a high proportion of urban poor has received higher share? Please give list. (250 words)

The amount allocated under AMRUT has been evenly distributed to all the three municipalities .

- Has the potential Smart cities been given preference? Please give list (200 words) In Puducherry State, Oulgaret Municipality was shortlisted under Smart Cities Mission, however Govt. of Puducherry has decided to nominate Puducherry city As per AMRUT guidelines, the city has given first preference because the Smart Cities Mission and the AMRUT are complementary. Based on this, Oulgaret Municipality has been prioritized under AMRUT Programme for the first year.
- What is the quantum of Central Assistance (CA) allocated to the State during 2016-17? (100 words)

Government of Puducherry has been allocated an amount of Rs. 21.6s as 100% Central Assistance the financial year 2015-16.

• Has the allocation to different ULBs within State is consistent with the urban profile of the state? (260 words)

Yes. The fund allocation has been considered based on some crucial urban parameters like urban poor, urban revenue potential, urbanization trend etc.

## 2. <u>Importance of O&M</u>

It has been observed that ULBs pay little attention to the operation and maintenance of infrastructure assets created after completion of projects. This tendency on the part of implementing agencies leads to shear loss off national assets. Please fill out the Plan of action for A&OE expenses given in Table 4 (pg-48) of AMRUT Guidelines and answer the following questions.

- Do projects proposed in the SAAP include 0&M for at least five years?What is the nature of 0&M? (tabular; 300 words)

  Yes, the project prioritized under SAAP has considered the Operation and Maintenance cost of the project for the period of 5 years. The Operation and Maintenance would be the responsibility of the contractor / agency, who will implement the project. The ULBs will also bear the 0&M cost through user charges, if there is any gap.
- How 0&M expenditures are propose to be funded by ULBs/ parastatal? (200 words) The Operation and Maintenance cost of the infrastructure created under AMRUT Scheme will be borne by respective ULBs/ Public Works Department/State Govt. through collection of user charges, implementation of PPP models, etc.
- Is it by way of levy of user charges or other revenue streams? (100 words) The objective of ULBs is to recover the Operation and Maintenance cost through collection of user charges, implementation of action plan for reduction of Operation & Maintenance, metering system, SCADA system etc., However, if there are still gaps in the Operation and Maintenance expenditure, it will be meet out through the Government of Puducherry grant.
- Has O&M cost been excluded from project cost for the purpose of funding? (100 words)

Yes, O&M cost has been excluded from project cost for the purpose of funding and shall be borne by ULB through user charges. If there will be any gap in recovery of user charges, same shall be borne by ULB/UT through its own resources.

What kind of model been proposed by States/ULBs to fund the O&M? Please discuss.
 (250 words)

At present, Public Works Department, Puducherry is responsible for operation and maintenance of water supply and sewerage system. The user charge of water is being collected on volumetric basis. However, most of the water meters are not functioning. Therefore, Govt. of Puducherry would plan to replace the existing meters as Smart Meters under the AFD Programme.

• Is it through an appropriate cost recovery mechanism in order to make them self-reliant and cost-effective? How? (250 words)

The PWD, Govt. of Puducherry has proposed appropriate cost recovery mechanism to meet the 0& M Cost to make the system self sustainable.

## 3. Reform Implementation

In order to become eligible to claim the 10% incentive, the State is required to implement the Reforms prescribed by GoI. The states are also required to a self-assessment and based on the score the Apex committee will decide the eligibility of the state. Please fill out Table 5.2; pg. 52 of AMRUT Guidelines and respond to the following.

Some of the criteria that should be considered while preparing the SAAP:

• Fill out the tables prescribed by the TCPO.What are the Reform type, steps and Target for 2016-17? (tabular; 300 words)

SI.n o	Milestones	Activities to be achieved								Documentar y evidence/ Remarks							
			Dec-15	Mar -16	June- 16	Sep-16	Dec- 16	Mar- 17	Jun- 17	Sep- 17	Dec- 17	Mar- 18	Jun- 18	Sep- 18	Dec- 18	Mar- 19	
		Digital ULBs 1. Creation of ULB website.															
1.	E-Governance	Publication of e-newsletter,     Digital India Initiatives.	Availabl e														
		3.Support Digital India (ducting to be done on PPP mode or by the ULB itself).															
2	Constitution and professionalization of municipal cadre	1.Policy for engagement of interns in ULBs and implementation.															
3	Augmenting double entry accounting	Complete migration to double entry accounting system and obtaining an audit certificate to the effect from FY 2012-13 onwards.						Marc h - 17									
		Publication of annual financial statement on website.															
		Preparation of Service Level     Improvement Plans (SLIP), State     Annual Action Plans (SAAP)			Alread y comple ted												
4	Lishan Dianning and	Make action plan to     progressively increase Green cover     in cities to 15% in 5 years			Comm enced												y evidence/
4	Urban Planning and City Development Plans	3. Develop at least one Children Park every year in AMRUT cities.															
	rialis	4. Establish a system for maintaining of parks, playground and recreational areas relying on People Public Private Partnership (PPPP) model.															
	Devolution of funds and functions	Ensure transfer of 14th FC devolution to ULBs.	Not applicabl e														

# State Annual Action Plan (SAAP)

SI.n o	Milestones	Activities to be achieved		Time line / period								Documentar y evidence/ Remarks					
			Dec-15	Mar -16	June- 16	Sep-16	Dec- 16	Mar- 17	Jun- 17	Sep- 17	Dec- 17	Mar- 18	Jun- 18	Sep- 18	Dec- 18	Mar- 19	
5		Appointment of State Finance     Commission (SFC) and making     decisions.     Transfer of all 18 functions to     ULBs.															
6	Review of Building by-laws	Revision of building bye laws periodically.     Create single window clearance for all approvals to give building permissions.															
7 (a)	Municipal tax and fees improvement	1. At least 90% coverage. 2. At least 90% collection. 3. Make a policy to, periodically revise property tax, levy charges and other fees. 4. Post Demand Collection Book (DCB) of tax details on the website. 5. Achieve full potential of advertisement revenue by making a policy for destination specific potential having dynamic pricing module.															

# State Annual Action Plan (SAAP)

Sl.n o	Milestones	Activities to be achieved		Time line / period								Documentar y evidence/ Remarks					
			Dec-15	Mar -16	June- 16	Sep-16	Dec- 16	Mar- 17	Jun- 17	Sep- 17	Dec- 17	Mar- 18	Jun- 18	Sep- 18	Dec- 18	Mar- 19	
7 (b)	Improvement in levy and collection of user charges	1. Adopt a policy on user charges for individual and institutional assessments in which a differential rate is charged for water use and adequate safeguards are included to take care of the interests of the vulnerable.  2. Make action plan to reduce water losses to less than 20% and publish on the website.  3. Separate accounts for user charges.  4. Atleast 90% billing.  5. Atleast 90% collection.															
8	Energy and Water audit	1. Energy (Street lights) and Water Audit (including non-revenue water or losses audit). 2. Making STPs and WTPs energy efficient. 3. Optimize energy consumption in street lights by using energy efficient lights and increasing reliance on renewable energy.															

Table 0-1: ULB level Individual Capacity Development Plan to be sent by ULB to State Government

Form 7.1.1 (Physical) of the guideline

S.N	Name of the department/ Position	Total number of functionaries (officials/elected representatives) identified at start of Mission (2015)	Numbers trained during last FY(s)	Numbers to be trained during the current FY	Name(s) of Training Institute for training during the current FY	Cumulative numbers trained after completion of current FY.
1	Finance Department	50	-	-	-	10
2	Engineering Department	100	-	-	-	20
3	Town planning Department	50	1	-	-	10
4	Administration Department	100	-	-	-	20
	Total	300	-	-	-	60

Table 0-2: Financial

Form 7.1.2(Financial) of the guideline

S.No	Name of the department/	Cumulative funds released up to current FY	Total Expenditure up to current FY	Unspent funds available from earlier releases	Funds required for the current FY to train the number given in Form 3.20
1	Finance Department	0	0	0	0.05
2	Engineering Department	0	0	0	0.10
3	Town planning Department	0	0	0	0.05
4	Administration Department	0	0	0	0.10
	Total	0	0	0	0.30

• Fill out Table 5.5 (pg. 54) given in the AMRUT Guidelines. What is the outcome of the self-evaluation done for reporting progress on reform implementation in order to receive the 10% incentive? (tabular; 350 words)

Yet to be achieved.

SI.No	Name of the ULBs	Maximum score possible during this year	Score obtained by ULB
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Puducherry	30	15
2	Oulgaret	30	15
Subtotal I	JLB	60	30
	State	60	30
Subtotal State		60	30
Overall		60	30

 Have any issues been identified during the review by HPSC on Reforms implementation? What are the issues? (250 words)

No issues have been identified.

• Have these issues been considered while planning for reform implementation? How? (tabular; 250 words)

Not Applicable

#### 4. Annual Capacity Building Plan

The state is required to submit a Capacity Development Plan along with the SAAP for approval by the MoUD, to empower municipal functionaries and lead to timely completion of projects. Please prepare the individual and institutional capacity building plan by filling out Tables 7.2.1, 7.2.2, 7.2.3 and statement in Table 7.2.4 (pgs. 70 - 72) of AMRUT Guidelines and give the following responses.

Form 7.2.1Fund requirement for Individual Capacity Building at ULB level

								(I	Rs. in Cr.)
S.No	Name of the City	Finance department	Engineering	Town Planning	Administration	Total	Name of the training institution (s) identified	Number of training programmes to be conducted	Funds required in current FY Rs. in Cr.)
1	Oulgaret	5	5	5	5	20		5	0.10
2	Puducherry	5	5	5	5	20	ASCI,ESCI	5	0.10
3	Karaikal	5	5	5	5	20	7.001,2001	5	0.10
	Total	15	15	15	15	60		15	0.30

Form 7.2.2 Fund requirement for State level activities

					(Rs. in Cr.)
S.No	State Level Activity	Cumulative Funds released upto current FY	Total Expenditure upto current FY	Unspent funds available from earlier releases	Funds required for the current FY
1	RPMC (CMMU & SMMU)	0	0	0	0.60
2	UMC	0	0	0	0
*3	Others (e.g., Workshops, Seminars etc), which are approved by NIUA	0	0	0	0.18
4	Institutional	0	0	0	0.00
	Total	0	0	0	0.78

<sup>\*</sup> The program is for Individual

Form 7.2.3 Total fund requirement for Capacity Building

						Rs. in Cr.)
SI.No	Name of the department/ Position	Individual	Institutional	RPMC and UMC	Others	Total
1	Total release since start of Mission (2015)	0	0	0	0	0
2	Total Utilised - Central Share	0	0	0	0	0

State Annual Action Plan (SAAP)

		<u>,                                     </u>				Rs. in Cr.)
SI.No	Name of the department/ Position	Individual	Institutional	RPMC and UMC	Others	Total
3	Balance available - Centre Share	0	0	0	0	0
4	Amount required - Centre Share	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30
5	Total funds required for Capacity building in current FY	0.30	0	0	0	0.30
	Total	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30

#### Form 7.2.4 Details of Institutional Capacity Building

 a. Is the State willing to revise their town planning laws and rules to include land pooling?
 Yes

- b. List of ULBs willing to have a credit rating done as the first step to issue bonds? Puducherry & Oulgarate Municipality
- c. Is the State willing to integrate all work done in GIS in order to make GIS useful for decision-making in ULBs ?
  Yes
- d. Is the State willing to take assistance for using land as a fiscal tool in ULBs ? Yes.
- e. Does the State require assistance to professionalize the municipal cadre ? Yes
- f. Does the State require assistance to reduce non-revenue water in ULBs? Yes
- g. Does the State require assistance to improve property tax assessment and collections in ULBs?
  Yes
- h. Does the State require assistance to establish a financial intermediary? Yes
- i. Any other capacity assistance to implement the AMRUT Reform Agenda as set out in these Guidelines?
   Not Applicable

• What is the physical and financial Progress of capacity development at state level? (350 words)

Memorandum of Understanding will be signed with ASCII and ESCI by first week of August 2016 and the officials of the ULBs will be deputed for training by the end of August 2016.

• Do you feel that there is a need to include any other category of official, new department or module? (400 words)

No.

- What are the issues that are been identified during the review? (350 words) NIL
- Have the activities in your current year Capacity Building Plan training, exposure visits (ULB staff and elected representatives), seminars/workshops, etc. – been vetted/approved by NIUA?

NIL

• What is the present institutional capacity in the ULBs of the state; have the RPMC, UMC, etc. been appointed? Are there other PMUs, PIUs, etc. which are still operational?

It is proposed to set up State Mission Management Unit at State level.

• What has been the progress during the previous year/s in institutional capacity building, especially but not only in the seven areas that are mentioned in the AMRUT Guidelines? (p. 67).

After signing of the agreement with ASCI and ESCI Hyderbad the Officials will be deputed for training.

• Attach the Quarterly Score Cards on p. 73 of the Mission Guidelines.

#### **Table 7.3 Quarterly Score Cards for States**

Financial & physical progress on Capacity Building (ULB Level)

Name of	Name of the	Physical		Fina	ncial	Balance funds available in current FY	Ahead (+) or behind proportionate target (-)
ULB	Department / Position	Proportionate ULB Target	ULB achievement with respect to proportionate target	Proportionate funds allocated in current FY (In Lakhs)	Financial  Financial  funds available in current FY  financial  Funds ds utilized as ted in compared to proportionate target (In Lakhs)  0 0 1 1 0 2 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 1		
	Elected Representative	0	0	0	0	0	Not Started
	Finance Dept	4	0	2	0	1	Not Started
Pondicherry	Engineering Dept	8	0	4	0	2	Not Started
	Town Planning Dept.	4	0	2	0	1	Not Started
	Administration Dept	4	0	2	0	1	Not Started
	Elected Representative	0	0	0	0	0	Not Started
	Finance Dept	4	0	2	0	1	Not Started
Oulgaret	Engineering Dept	7	0	3.5	0	1.75	Not Started
	Town Planning Dept.	4	0	2	0	1	Not Started
	Administration Dept	5	0	2.5	0	1.25	Not Started
Karaikal	Elected Representative	0	0	0	0	0	Not Started
	Finance Dept	4	0	2	0	1	Not Started

State Annual Action Plan (SAAP)

Engineering Dept	7	0	3.5	0	1.75	Not Started
Town Planning Dept.	4	0	2	0	1	Not Started
Administration Dept	5	0	2.5	0	1.25	Not Started

**Table 7.4 Quarterly Score Cards for States** 

# Financial & physical progress on Capacity Building ( State Level)

Quarter ending December 2016

Number of ULBs above / below proportionate	Name of the Department /	Physical		Fi	nancial	Total number trained if relevant upto quarter	Total funds utilized upto quarter
target ( from table 7.3)	Position	Total Target in FY	Proportionate target upto quarter	Funds allocated in current FY (In Lakhs)	Proportionate target upto Quarter		
Above	Individual	60	40%	30	40%	NIL	NIL
NIL	Institutional Capacity Building	0	0	0	0	0	0
Below	PMC & UMC	0	0	0	0	0	0
NIL	Other specify	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Other specify	0	0	0	0	0	0

• Have those issues been addressed? How? (500 words)

Yes

#### 5. A&OE

The 10% allocation for A&OE has been divided into two parts, 8% State fund and 2% GoI fund. Please fill out the Plan of Action Table given in the AMRUT Guidelines (Table 4; pgs.48, 49) and answer the following questions.

Table 4: Plan of Action for Administrative & Other Expenses (A&OE)
(Amount in Rs. Crores)

Name of State: Puducherry FY 2016-17

Sr. No	Items proposed for A&OE	Total Allocation	Committed Expenditure from previous year (if any)	Proposed spending for Current		Balance to ( Forward	Carry	
	nuo'L		,, , (, ,	Financial year	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
1	Preparation of SLIP and SAAP	0.0256	0	0.0256	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	PDMC	0.60	0	0.60	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00
3.	Procuring Third Party Independent Review and Monitoring Agency	0.20	0	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	Publications (e-Newsletter, guidelines, brochures etc.)	0.12	0	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	Capacity Building and Training - CCBP, if applicable - Others	0.60	0	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	Reform implementation	0.20	0	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
*8	Others ( SMMU)	1.50	0	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total		3.2456	0	3.2456	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

<sup>\*</sup> Setting up SMMU and other other expenses

• What is the committed expenditure from previous year? (200 words)

NIL

• What are the issues that are been identified during the review? (350 words)

No issues have been identified

• Have the A&OE fund used only for admissible components? (200 words)

Yet to be utilized

 How the ULB/State wants to carry out the implementation of the projects, (establishment of IRMA/PDMC/SMMU/CMMU)? (350 words)

It is proposed to implement the project by appointing SMMU for UT of Puducherry or PWD Puducherry.

#### 6. Financing of Projects

Financing is an important element of the SAAP. Each state has been given the maximum share that will be given by the Central Government. (Para 5 of AMRUT Guidelines). The State has planned for the remaining resource generation at the time of preparation of the SAAP. The financial share of cities will vary across ULBs. Information responding to the following questions regarding financing of the projects proposed under AMRUT, in words has been indicated below:

• What is the State contribution to the SAAP? (should be greater than 20 percent, Para 7.4 of AMRUT Guidelines) (150 words)

NIL

• Fill out Table 3.4 at pg.45 of AMRUT Guideline. How the residual financing (over and above Central Government share) is shared between the States, ULBs? (tabular; 200 words)

Please refer Table 0-3: SAAP – Year wise Share of Investments for all sectors (ULB Wise)

• Fill out Table 3.3 at pg 44 of AMRUT Guidelines. Has any other sources identified by the State/ULB (e.g. PPP, market borrowing)? Please discuss. (tabular; 250 words)

Please refer clause (h) in Form 7.2.4 Details of Institutional Capacity Building. PPP market borrowing will be considered during implementation.

 Whether complete project cost is linked with revenue sources in SAAP? Please describe? (250 words) Yes, the revenue sources has been worked out and furnished in the DPR

• Has projects been dovetailed with other sectoral and financial programme of the Centre and State Governments? (250 words)

NIL, as the funding is 100%.

- Has States/UTs explored the possibility of using Public Private Partnerships (PPP), as a preferred execution model? Please discuss. (300 words)
  - It is proposed to engage the PPP in O&M of the project to be set up under AMRUT especially for the RO plants.
- Are PPP options included appropriate Service Level Agreements (SLAs) which may lead to the People Public Private Partnership (PPPP) model? How? (300 words)

Will be considered during implementation.

# Chapter 4: TABLES:

Table 1.1Breakup of total MoUD allocation for AMRUT

FY 2016-17

Name of State: Puducherry

Total Central funds allocated to State	Allocation of Central funds for A&OE(@ 8% of Total given in column1)		Multiply col. 3 by x3) for AMRUT on col. 4 (project proposal to be threetimes the annual allocation - CA)		Total AMRUT annual size (cols.2+4+5)
1	2	3	4	5	6
21.60	1.728	7.2	21.60	0.00	23.328

Table 1.2.2: Abstract-Break-up of Total Fund Sharing Pattern

FY- 2016-17

SI.		Centre		State			ULB				
No.	Sector	Mission	14th FC	Others	Total	14 <sup>th</sup> FC	Others	Total	Convergence	Others	Total
1	Water Supply	16.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.56
2	Sewerage and Septage Management	4.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.50
3	Drainage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	Urban Transport	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	Others	0.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54
	Grand Total	21.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.60

Table 1.3: Abstract-Use of Funds on Projects: On Going and New

(Amount in Rs.) FY 2016-17

				Con	nmitted Ex Pre	penditure evious yea		rom		Proposed Spending during Current Financial year						vard for Next ears							
SI. No.	Sector	Total Project			State			ULB				State			ULB				State			ULB	
NO.		Investment	Cent re	14t h FC	Others	Total	14t h FC	Others	Total	Centre	14t h FC	Others	Tot al	14th FC	Others	Tot al	Centr e	14t h FC	Others	Total	14t h FC	Other s	Total
1	Water Supply	29.06	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	Sewerage and Septage Management	10.50	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	Drainage	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	Urban Transport	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	Others	1.01	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Grand Total	40.57	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

**Table 1.4: Abstract-Plan for Achieving Service Level Benchmarks** 

FY-2016-17

Proposed	Total Project		Baseline	,	Annual Targets ba	ased on Master I the Baseline Val	Plan ue)	
Priority Projects	Cost	Indicator2	3	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
				H1 H2	2017	2010	2019	2020
Water Supply								
		Household level coverage of direct water supply connections	93%	93%	93%	94%	94%	94%
		Per capita quantum of water supplied	116	116	116	118	120	122
		Quality of water supplied	80%	80%	80%	82%	82%	84%
Sewerage and	Septage Manag	ement						
		4. Coverage of latrines (individual or community)	85.80%	87%	89%	100%	100%	100%
		5. Coverage of sewerage network services	28%	28%	55%	77%	80%	82%
		6. Efficiency of Collection of Sewerage	23%	28%	55%	77%	80%	82%
		7. Efficiency in treatment	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Drainage								
		Coverage of storm water drainage network	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%
Urban Transpo	rt				•			•
		Service coverage of urban transport in the city	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
		10. Availability of urban transport per 1000 population	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
Oth	ners	11. Park	60%	60%	60%	65%	70%	85%

<sup>4</sup>As per SLB framework for water supply, sewerage, solid waste management and drainage and proposed SLB indicator for urban transport 5 Detailed information for arriving at % target against baseline shall be worked out from details provided by Cities so as to arrive at state indicators

 $\hbox{ Table 3.2: SAAP - Sector Wise Breakup of Consolidated Investments for all ULBs in the State } \\$ 

Name of State: Puducherry FY- 2016-17

(Amount in Rs.)

Name of City	Water Supply	Sewerage and Septage Management	Draina ge	Urban Transport	Others	Reforms	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Puducherry	16.56	2.25	0.0	0.0	0.27	0.0	19.08
Oulgaret	0.00	2.25	0.0	0.0	0.27	0.0	2.52
Karaikal	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.00
		Total Pr	oject Investments				21.60
			A&OE				1.728
		G	Grand Total				23.328

Table 3.4: SAAP - Year Wise Share of Investments for All Sectors (ULB Wise)

Name of State: Puducherry FY- 2016-17 (Amount in Crores.)

	Total Project		Con		penditur evious ye	e (if any) fi ear	rom			Propo		nding du ancial ye	ring Curre ar	ent			В		arry Forv nancial Y	ard for Ne ears	xt	
Name of City	Investment			State			ULB				State			ULB		<b>2</b> 1		State			ULB	
		Centr e	14t h FC	Others	Tot al	14th FC	Ot her s	Tot al	Cen tre	14th FC	Ot her s	Tot al	14th FC	Ot he rs	Tot al	Centr e	14th FC	Ot her s	Tot al	14th FC	Ot her s	Tota I
Puducherry	31.80	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.80	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Oulgaret	8.77	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.27	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Karaikal	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	40.57	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.07	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0

# Table 7.4: Quarterly Score Cards for States Financial and physical progress on capacity building (State level)

Total number of ULBs: 3 Quarter ending 2016

			Physical		Financial		
mber of ULBs above/below portionate target (from table 7.3 of AMRUT guideline)	Name of the artment/position	Total Target in FY	Proportionate target upto quarter	ds allocated in current FY	roportionate target upto quarter	tal number trained, if evant, upto quarter	Total funds utilized upto quarter
	Individual training	60	40%	30	40%	NIL	NIL
above	stitutional capacity building	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PMC and UMC	0	0	0	0	0	0
Below	Other - specify	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Other -specify	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 3.1: SAAP – Master Plan of all projects details to achieve universal coverage during to Mission period based on Table 2.1 (FYs 2015-16 and 2019-20) (Amount in Rs.

**Current Mission period 2015-2020** 

Name of State: Puducherry

Sr. No.	Name of ULB (water supply and sewerage)	Total number of projects to achieve universal coverage	Estim ated Cost	Number of years to achieve universal coverage
1	2	3	4	5
	Puducherry	6	32.065	4
	Oulgaret	8	16.115	4
	Karaikal	14	16.73	4
			64.91	

# Table 3.5: SAAP- - State level Plan for Achieving Service Level Benchmarks

## Name of State - UT of Puducherry

#### **Current Mission Period- 2016-17**

				Annua	al Targets	based on Master F	Plan (Increment from	the Baselin	e Value)
Proposed Priority	Total Project	Indicator	Baseline	FY 2	2016			FV	FV
Projects	Cost	maisator	Buscinic	H1	H2	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Water Supply									
	99.00	Household level coverage of direct water supply connections	95%	95%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	29.06	Per capita quantum of water supplied	125	125	128	130	135	135	135
		Quality of water supplied	80%	80%	80%	85%	85%	95%	100%
Sewerage and S	eptage Managemer	nt							
		Coverage of latrines (individual or community)	85.80%	87%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	40.50	Coverage of sewerage network services	53%	55%	65%	70%	70%	85%	100%
	10.50	Efficiency of Collection of Sewerage	43%	53%	65%	70%	83%	95%	100%
		Efficiency in treatment	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Drainage									
		Coverage of storm water drainage network	60%	60%	60%	65%	70%	85%	100%
Urban Transport									
		Service coverage of urban transport in the city	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
		Availability of urban transport per 1000 population	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
	Others	I							
	1.01	Green Space	60%	60%	60%	60%	65%	70%	85%

Table 4: SAAP - Broad Proposed Allocations for Administrative and Other Expenses (Amount in Rs.)

Name of State: Puducherry FY 2016-17

	Items		2	Proposed		Balance to ( Forward	Carry	
Sr. No	proposed for A&OE	Total Allocation	Committed Expenditure from previous year (if any)	spending for Current Financial year	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
1	Preparation of SLIP and SAAP	0.256	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	PDMC	0.60	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00
3.	Procuring Third Party Independent Review and Monitoring Agency	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	Publications (e-Newsletter, guidelines, brochures etc.)	0.12	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	Capacity Building and Training - CCBP, if applicable - Others	0.60	0.00	0.30	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	Reform Implementation	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	Others ( SMMU+set up)	0.15	0.00	0.186	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total		*3.2456	0.00	1.166	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00

<sup>• \*</sup>AoE for the year 2015+2016

Table 5.2: SAAP-Reforms Type, Steps and Target for AMRUT Cities FY-2016-2017

				Tar	get to be set	by states in SA	AAP
S.No	Туре	Steps	Implementation Timeline	April to Sep, 2015	Oct, 2015 to Mar, 2016	April to Sep, 2016	Oct, 2016 to Mar, 2017
1	E-Governance	1. Coverage with E-MAAS (from the date of hosting the software)  • Registration of Birth, Death and Marriage,  • Water & Sewerage Charges,  • Grievance Redressal,  • Property Tax,  • Advertisement tax,  • Issuance of Licenses,  • Building Permissions,  • Mutations,  • Payroll,  • Pension and  e-procurement.	24 months		Available Started	Commencing Commencing commencing commencing commencing commencing commencing commencing	Will proceed Will proceed Will proceed Will proceed
2	Constitution and professionalization of municipal cadre	Establishment of municipal cadre.     Cadre linked training.	24 months				Will start
3	Augmenting double entry accounting	Appointment of internal auditor.	24 months				Will start
4	Urban Planning and City Development Plans	Make a State Level policy for implementing the parameters given in the National Mission for Sustainable Habitat.	24 months				Will start
5	Devolution of funds and functions	Implementation of SFC recommendations within timeline.	24 months				Will start

		State to formulate a policy and action plan for having a solar roof top in all buildings having		Will start
		an area greater than 500 square meters and all public buildings.	24 months	
6	Review of Building by-laws	State to formulate a policy and action plan for having Rainwater harvesting structures in all commercial.		
		public buildings and new buildings on plots of 300 sq. meters and above.	24 months	Will start
	Set-up financial intermediary at	Establish and operationalize financial intermediary- pool		
7	state level	finance, access external funds, float municipal bonds.	24 months	Will start
8	Credit Rating	1. Complete the credit ratings of the ULBs.	24 months	Will start
9	Energy and Water audit	1. Give incentives for green buildings (e.g. rebate in property tax or charges connected to building permission/development charges).	24 months	Will start

	Plans	for SustainableHabitat.			
5	Devolutionof fundsand functions	1.Implementation of SFC     Recommendations within timeline.	24months	Will start	
6	Reviewof Buildingby-laws	1.State to formulate a policy andactionplanforhavinga solar rooftopinallbuildings havingan areagreaterthan 500 squaremeters and all public buildings.  2.State to formulate a policy and action plan for having Rainwater harvesting structures in all commercial, public buildings and new buildings onplotsof 300sq. meters andabove.	24months	Will start  Will start	
			24months		
7	Set-upfinancial intermediaryat statelevel	1.Establish and operationalize     financial intermediary- pool finance, access     external funds, float municipal bonds.	24months	Will start	
8	Credit Rating	Complete the credit ratings of theULBs.	24months	Will start	
9	EnergyandWater audit	Giveincentives for green     buildings (e.g.rebatein propertytaxor     charges connectedtobuilding     permission/development charges).	24months	Will start	

### Table 5.5: SAAP- Self- Evaluation for Reporting Progresson ReformImplementation For Financial Year 2016-17 (Last financial year)

The reforms achievement will be measured every year after the end of financial year by

Allocating 10marks for each reforms milestone achieved as against the targets set by the MoUD.

S.No	Year	Noof milestones	MaximumScore
1	1 st 1 year	28	280
2	2 <sup>nd</sup> year	13	130
3	3 <sup>rd</sup> year	8	80
4	4 <sup>th</sup> year	3	30

#### **Incentivebased grant releasecalculation:**

The States will be required to fill the following Self-Assessment Form. Step1: Fill the following table

S.N	S.No Name of ULBs		Maximum Score possible during the year*	Score obtained ULB Wise*
(1)	(1) (2)		(3)	(4)
1	1 Pondicherry		30	15
2		Oulgaret	30	15
Subtota	al ULB		60	30
		State	60	30
1				
	Sub total State		60	30
	Overall		60	30

\*Note: Calculated for First Quarter until Dec 2016

Step2: Calculate the overall score in percent age obtained by the state (States core plus ULB score).

Step3: Only those States achieving 70percent and above overall reform score will be considered for incentive.

Step4:If the overall score is greater than 70percent, the incentive amount will be distributed among the states depending upon the number of ULBs that have achieved a score of more than 70percent in the state.

## Table 7.2: Annual Action Plan for Capacity Building

Name of State – FY- 2016-17

Form 7.2.1 -Fund Requirement for Individual Capacity Building at ULB level

SI. No.	Name of ULB	Total numbers to be trained in the current financial year, department wise						Name of the Training	No. of Training Program	Fund Regd. in
		Elected Reps.	Finan ce Dept.	Engineer ing Dept.	Town Planni ng Dept.	Adm in. Dept	Tot al	Institution (s) identified	mes to be conduct ed	current FY (₹ in Crore)
1	Puducherry	0	5	10	5	10	30	ASCI, ESCI	5	0.15
2	Oulgaret	0	5	10	5	10	30	ASCI, ESCI	5	0.15

## Table 7.2: Annual Action Plan for Capacity Building

Name of State – Puducherry

FY- 2016-17

Form 7.2.2 -Fund Requirement for State level activities

#### **Amount in Crores**

Sl. No.	State Level activities	Total expenditure upto current FY	Unspent funds available from earlier releases	Funds required for the current FY (In Crores)
1	RPMC (SMMU)			0.60
2	UMC	NIL	0.00	0.00
3	Others (Workshops, Seminars, etc.) are approved by NIUA ( Individual)			0.30
4	Institutional/ Reform			0.00
	Total			0.90

#### Table 7.2.3: Annual Action Plan for Capacity Building

Name of State –UT of Puducherry

FY- 2016-17

### Form 7.2.3 -Total Fund Requirement for Capacity Building

SI. No.	Fund requirement	Individual (Training & Workshop)	Institutional/ Reform	SMMU/RPM C/CMMU	Others	Total (In Crore)
1	Total release since start of Mission (2015)	-	-		-	-
2	Total utilisation-Central Share	-	-	-	-	-
3	Balance available-Central Share	-	-	-	-	-
4	Amount required-Central Share	0.30	-	-	-	0.30
5	Total fund required for capacity building in current FY 2015-16	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30

#### Form 7.2.4 Details of Institutional Capacity Building

a. Is the State willing to revise their town planning laws and rules to include land pooling?

Yes.

b. List of ULBs willing to have a credit rating done as the first step to issue bonds?

Puducherry and Oulgaret Municipalities are willing to do credit rating for their cities.

c. Is the State willing to integrate all work done in GIS in order to make GIS useful for decision making in ULBs?

Yes.

- d. Is the State willing to take assistance for using land as a fiscal tool in ULBs? No.
- e. Does the State require assistance to professionalize the municipal cadre? Yes.
- f. Does the State require assistance to reduce non-revenue water in ULBs? Yes.
- g. Does the State require assistance to improve property tax assessment and collections in ULBs?

Yes.

h. Does the State require assistance to establish a financial intermediary?

Yes.

## Name of State PUDUCHERRY

FY 2016-17

(Amount in Re											
Name of City	Centre	ntre State			ULB			Converge nce	Others (e.g. incentive)	Total	
		14 <sup>th</sup>	Others	Total	14 FC	Others	Total				
Puducherry Municipality	19.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.08	
Oulgaret Municipality	2.52	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.52	
Total	21.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Grand Total	21.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.60	